

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/942,271                                | 08/29/2001            | Armand Castillejo    | \$1022/8642             | 6225             |  |
| 23628                                     | 7590 03/26/2004       |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC               |                       |                      | JACKSON, STEPHEN W      |                  |  |
| FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE |                       |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| BOSTON, M.                                | BOSTON, MA 02210-2211 |                      |                         | 2836             |  |
|   |                       |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 02/26/200 | 4                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/942,271   | CASTILLEJO ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stephen W Jackson  | 2836   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | •  | • •  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   |  | <del></del>  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/942,271

Art Unit: 2836

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Worley et al in view of Lien.

Worley teaches an integrated circuit having a plurality of input/output pads and a plurality of "one way conduction elements" connected either to supply lines or ground

Art Unit: 2836

and responsive to conduct in a protective manner in response to threshold voltages of different levels and polarities. The device taught by Worley includes capacitive elements 251,151,371, resistive element 550, and series connected diodes 281 as examples of conventional design practice in the art.

The device taught by Worley differs from the claims by not having a switch element that is off when the circuit is idle and to be turned on when the circuit is in its normal operating mode. Lien teaches an electrostatic discharge protection circuit that has a switch that is off when the circuit is idle and couples the gate of a transistor switch to power supply potential when the circuit is operating in its normal mode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings to meet the claims because both teachings are ESD protection in the IC (integrated circuit) environment, with Lien teaching that it is known to make the protection circuit responsive to the circuit being idle (unpowered) or in its normal operating mode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-2051. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-3:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,271 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SWJackson

March 22, 2004

STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alephen Jackson